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CLÀ’s and Vitamins

- Conjugated linoleic acid = CLA - collective term used to describe derivative mixture of nine isomers/forms of conjugated linoleic acid
  - Occur in nature in food (animal fat and dairy products) from ruminants (cattle, sheep)
  - Pasture-based cattle tissues contain 3X (to 5X) as much CLÀ’s as grain-fed cattle
  - Humans must obtain CLÀ’s from diet; cannot make by ourselves
  - Most important/active forms:
    - cis-9, trans-11 = rumenic acid (75-90%) trans-10, cis-12 trans-9, trans-11
    - cis-9, cis-12 = 18:2 linoleic acid (~3%) 18:3 = α-linolenic acid, “omega-3”
  - Anti-carcinogenic effects demonstrated (mammary and colon tumors)
  - Other benefits/properties: hypocholesterolemic, anti-atherogenic and anti-diabetic properties, enhanced immune response, and positive effects on energy (fat) partitioning and bone formation in growth
  - Pasture-based beef has 1/3 to 1/2 less fat, lower calories per g than grain-based beef
  - Pasture-based beef contains 2X (to 6X) more omega-3 than grain-based beef
  - Atkins Diet - high content of CLÀ’s in foods recommended in program

Vitamins

- Fat-soluble vitamins (A, D, E) and selenium mineral (Se)
- Scientific evidence accumulating that meat from pastured animals demonstrates
  - More prolonged resistance to oxidation

*Pastured Beef Contains Higher CLÀ Content*
Land and Feedstuff Situations

• Capable of
  • Year-round grazing?
  • Grazing plus hay?
  • Grazing plus graining?
  • Grazing plus hay plus graining?

• Depends on soil and sward conditions
• Lowest cost situation usually year-round grazing
  • Uniform grazing spreads manure as a natural fertilizer
• Depending on land resources, harvest time for beef might be affected
  • Consistency of beef products sold to established customers needs to be maintained!

Equipment

• “The less heavy metal the better”
• Approach: “Cattle will be the equipment”
• Usually cheaper in the long run to buy hay, rather than invest in lightly-used equipment

Strive for Land and Equipment Management that will Produce a Consistent Product
**Time Input vs. Output**

**Cattle Time**
- Plan potential harvest time targets and work on tactics to meet targets
- Need balance of forages/feeds that can give minimum ADG of about 1.7 lbs/day to obtain ~1300 lb animal by age 24 months
- In times of poor forage quality or availability, need to supplement forage with other feeds

**Human Time**
- To produce a steady customer base, marketing time ≥ production time
  - Market 12 months a year
  - Whet the appetite
- Satisfied, sustained customers critical to success
  - Customer base tends to be mobile, affluent
  - Need to be developing additions all the time

*Essential to Know Your Market and Plan Accordingly*
**Grass Effects**

### Legumes *(Stockman Grass Farmer, 1994, strictly grass, start to finish)*
- The higher the legume content, the more yellow the fat in the meat
- Need balance of forages that can give minimum ADG of about 1.7 lbs/day

### Grasses *(Iowa State. Univ., 2000, backgrounding on grass, then to feedlot)*
- Time on grass during backgrounding affected meat quality
  - Although all cattle in test graded at least Choice -, the longer the cattle were on grass, the poorer the quality grade
  - Tenderness was not affected by time on grass

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment for Fall-Born Calves</th>
<th>Hot Carcass Wt., lb.</th>
<th>Quality grade</th>
<th>KPH Fat, %</th>
<th>WBS, kgf</th>
<th>Average of Juiceness, Flavor, Flavor Intensity by Panel Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct to Feedlot @ age 7 mos (weaning)</td>
<td>747&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.1&lt;sup&gt;ad&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.4&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>5.3&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromegrass @ age 7 - 10 mos</td>
<td>737&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.1&lt;sup&gt;abde&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.7&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>5.3&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromegrass @ age 7 - 10 mos PLUS ionophore</td>
<td>734&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.1&lt;sup&gt;abde&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.7&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>5.5&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromegrass + Switchgrass @ age 7 - 13 mos</td>
<td>670&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.7&lt;sup&gt;ac&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.2&lt;sup&gt;ad&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5.4&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromegrass + Switchgrass @ age 7 - 13 mos PLUS ionophore</td>
<td>710&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.8&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.9&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>5.1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a, b, c, d, e</sup> Means within same column with different letters are significantly different, P<0.05

Quality Grade: Choice + = 4; Choice 0 = 5; Choice - = 6; Select + = 7
KPH Fat: Kidney, Pelvic, and Heart Fat
WBSF: higher the number, tougher the meat
Sensory Panel Score: Excellent = 8; Acceptable = 5; Very Poor = 1

If WBS value for steak is ≤ 4.1, 98% of consumers will rate steak as “Acceptable for Tenderness”

Overall “Acceptability” is a function of
- Tenderness
- Juiciness
- Flavor
- Flavor Intensity

**Forage Type and Grass Time, even in Backgrounding, Could Affect Beef Quality**
Breed Selection

Which Breed Should It Be?

• Capable of Finishing CHOICE on Grass Alone
  • *Stockman Grass Farmer* Study
    • Wagyu, Jersey, Angus, Polled and Horned Hereford, Murray Grey
    • Wagyu and Murray Grey most consistent

• Genetics that Provide Reasonable Chance of
  • Meeting production goals and industry standards
    • Industry REA standard: $\geq 1.0 \text{ sq.in.} / 100 \text{ lb live wt. or } \geq 2.0 \text{ sq.in.} / 100 \text{ lb hanging weight}$
  • Satisfying customers

• Genetics that are Highly Likely to Carry Tenderness and Marbling Genes
  • Tenderness quantitatively measured by Warner-Bratzler Shear Force Test
  • Marbling scored and related to eating experience by taste panel
  • Ability to maintain appetite, deposit back fat; possibly correlated to marbling

*Genetics will Affect Beef Quality*
Within-Breed Selection

What Characteristics Should Individual Animals Have?

• Type
  • Deep-bodied, high capacity, walking fermentation chambers
  • Long-spined to give “an extra steak” from the loin area
  • Sound feet and legs, not post legged or sickle-hocked
  • Proportionality (linear measurements) of skeletal structure
    • Size of cannon bone in proportion to overall body size

• Pasture and Meat EPD’s and EBV’s
  • No “Pasture” EPD’s or EBV’s for any of the above traits
  • Some breeds have EPD’s/EBV’s for REA (EMA), IMF, Carcass Wt., Rib/Rump Fat, Retail Beef Yield, $Index Values – relate to meat yield and quality

• DNA Markers for Tenderness
  • Calpastatin – regulator gene governing calpain protein activity
  • Calpain – SNP316 and SNP530 – genes for proteins that tenderize meat during aging

• DNA Markers for Marbling
  • Thyroglobulin – gene that creates fat cells within muscle fibers
  • Leptin - gene for regulating appetite, energy, fat deposition and fat cover

Genetics will Affect Ability to Deal with Environment
“Do you want access to the competitive value-based market of the future? Better start getting together a resume for your cattle.”

John Sticka, CAB

What is in an Animal Resume?

Documentation of

• Genetic history
• Health and vaccination history
• Growth performance history
• Management practices
• Feeding history
• Finishing history
• Carcass management

Environment and Genetics will Affect Beef Quality
What Age at Harvest Should be the Target?

- Less than 30 months of age
  - Keep within a Maturity age bracket to reduce costs and eliminate need for backbone removal at packer
- Three general harvest age brackets with forage-fed beef
  - Baby Beef
    - Live weight usually ~ 800 pounds
    - Aged ~ 9 to 13 months
    - Can be done generally with medium-framed dairy and beef breeds
  - Advantages vs. disadvantages
    - Quickest return with lowest feed/grass input
    - Meat light colored and tender (WBSF < 4.0 kg), but lacking marbling
    - Meat has light, mild taste, but possibly “too light” for some palates
    - Meat has “No Forgiveness” if overcooked
    - Not many pounds of beef per side
    - Dress-out typically ~ 50 – 55%, meat yield ~ 65%
    - REA generally 10 sq. inches or smaller with no back fat

For Quickest ROI, Aim for Baby Beef
Ultimate Tenderness Market
What Age at Harvest Should be the Target?

- Lean-fed Beef
  - Live weight usually less than 1300 pounds
  - Aged ~ 13 to 18 months at harvest, depending on breed
  - British breeds “easier” to do than continental
  - Advantages vs. disadvantages
    - Meat light colored and still very tender (WBSF < 4.0 kg)
    - Dress-out typically ~ 60 – 62%, with good meat yield ~ 65 - 70%
    - REA generally ~ 10 – 12 sq. inches with very little back fat
    - Possibly qualifies for SELECT, but generally not graded at all
    - Depending on genetics between and/or within breed, may see various degrees of marbling
    - Actually difficult to maintain consistency unless supply genetics are uniform
    - Customer expectations may be difficult to meet

Can be “Tricky” to Accomplish
What Age at Harvest Should be the Target?

• Finished “Fat” Beef
  • Live weight usually 1200 - 1400 pounds
  • Aged ~ 18 to 28 months at harvest, depending on breed
  • British breeds “easier” to do than continental, due to basic genetics
  • Advantages vs. disadvantages
    • Need to keep animals on farm for longest time period
    • Need to manage grass to optimize growth, fat quantity and quality
    • Need good grass cattle genetics that will marble easily on grass
    • Meat can begin to toughen in certain breeds at 22 – 28 months of age
    • Dress-out typically ~ 58 - 60%, with good meat yield ~ 65 - 70%
    • REA generally ~ 12 – 14 sq. inches
    • Industry REA standard: $\geq 1.0 \text{ sq.in.}/100 \text{ lb live wt. or } \geq 2.0 \text{ sq.in.}/100 \text{ lb hanging weight}$
    • Depending on genetics between and/or within breed, may see various degrees of marbling and varying degrees of back fat
    • Quality grade essentially LOW CHOICE

Meat Quality and Size Similar to “Super Market” Beef
Great Lakes Family Farms Beef Co-Op

- Four marketing studies done throughout Ohio to answer these questions
  - Who is our market?
  - Where are they located?
  - What do they desire in a product that they can’t already obtain?
  - Can we provide these product preferences?
  - Can we make a reasonable profit providing these products?

- Studies done by
  - Ohio Cattlemen’s Association - Wholesale Retail Study
  - University of Dayton/Marketing Department - Consumer Preference Study (Funded by FSMIP Development Grant)
  - National Cattlemen’s Association Beef Quality Audit
  - Toledo Farmers Market Consumer Study

Whether grain- or forage-fed, answers are the same!
Targets?
• Higher income households, $90,000 per year or higher
• E-Commerce sales
• Direct home delivery
• Health-conscious markets (baby boomers and those younger than 65)
• Specialty restaurants
• Institutions involved in health care
• Corporate gifting

Locations and Customers?
• Direct sales
• Farmers’ markets

Product Specs?
% of Respondents Importance Ranking:
- Fresh 32.5%
- Lean 31.0%
- Tender 20.0%
- Wholesome 12.5%
- No Hormones/Growth Promoters 11.5%
- No Additives/Preservatives 8.5%
- Grain Fed 8.3%
- No Antibiotics 5.0%
- Price 4.3%
- Ease of preparation (< 20 min) 3.3%
(36 possible observations; more than one observation could be chosen by respondents)

Added Expectation Post-Dec, 2003
- Young animals (less than 30 months old)
- No BSE if locally bred and grown!
Why Buy Ohio Beef?
• 66% to 80% of respondents support local economy and farmers
• 25% to 80% want “higher quality and freshness”
• Born and raised in OHIO = Fresh, Lean
• Wholesome/Safe –
  • No hormone implants, no feed additives, no unnecessary drug use

Most Important Attributes?
Merchandizing Success depends on
✓ Consistent Quality, including Safety & Wholesomeness
✓ Consistent Quantity
Critical Control Points for Consistent Quality

Track from birth to harvest!

It’s All Part of the Animal Resume
**Wet- or Dry-Aging**

- **Dry** – largely disappearing option
  - Meat hangs in locker at constant temp. for 7-21 days prior to cutting
  - Concentrates flavor through moisture loss of up to 18% (shrinkage)
  - Allows fat to become distributed throughout muscle matrix
  - Allows calpastatin/calpain natural tenderization process time to proceed in presence of air (oxygen) to give light red color

- **Wet** – the industry norm “aging in the bag”
  - 90% of aged beef now aged this way
  - Beef vacuum-packed in plastic and maintained at 34-38 °F for 7-28 days
  - Beef looks “dark” in bag due to absence of air (oxygen)
  - When bag is opened, meat will lose some of the dark color through re-oxidation
  - Inside plastic, meat ages and becomes tender with no moisture loss
  - No moisture loss = no flavor concentration = selling water wt. as meat wt.

---

**Dry-Aging Enhances and Concentrates Flavor**

**Most Customers Accustomed to Wet-Aged Beef Flavor**
**Long and Slow or Fast and Quick?**

- Depends on MARBLING, CUT, and TASTE PREFERENCE
- Extent of marbling gives beef its unique flavor
  - Marbling = little flecks of fat inside beef muscle, not external fat cover
  - The more marbling present, the more “Punishment” the meat can take
  - At high temp. marbling melts and makes meat juicy
  - Quick high temp frying or braising helps to seal in juices
  - Slow cooking used to make tougher cuts more tender
- Depends on CUT – Location on animal that muscle came from
  - Example: loin (back muscle) more tender than round (used for walking)
  - Tougher cuts require longer, slower cooking
- Depends on individual taste preference

**Discuss Preferences with the Customer**
www.integratedhealth.com/infoabstracts/claab.html - abstracts of scientific papers about CLA research studies

www.healthybeef.iger.bbsrc.ac.uk/- research project to enhance the content of beneficial fatty acids in beef and improve meat quality

http://www.csuchico.edu/agr/grsfdbef/ben.cla.html - California State University, Chico College of Agriculture and Univ. of California Coop. extension web site; info on grass-fed beef and CLA’s, and references therein


www.wisc.edu/friclarefs.htm - web site of the University of Wisconsin providing 34 pages of references on CLA


www.askthemeatman.com - a unique meat processing site with many charts, links, and meat for sale

http://iianrpubs.unl.edu/beef/rp357.htm - North Central Regional Extension Publication #357 on Quality and Yield Grades

http://www.grazeonline.com/index.html - Graze is a monthly publication serving graziers.

www.eatwild.com – self-described as “The Clearinghouse for Information about Pasture-based Farming”


www igenity.com – DNA marker testing for Leptin fat deposition alleles, parent company is Merial.

http://savell-j.tamu.edu/shear.pdf - Study of Method for Warner-Bratzler Shear Force Test for Meat Tenderness and Variations in Results due to TM Factors


http://ijas.fass.org/cgi/reprint/74/7/1553.pdf - Effect of various methods of cooking on WBSF

http://www.iowabeefcenter.org/pdfs/tendersum/tendersumm.pdf - Iowa Beef Center Tenderness and Carcass Evaluation Summary


Beef is Graded for Quality by U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) According to Established Standards, Revised in 1997

Excerpted from http://iianrpubs.unl.edu/beef/rp357.htm

Maturity is a Function of Animal Age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degrees of Marbling</th>
<th>9-30 mos</th>
<th>30-42 mos</th>
<th>42-72 mos</th>
<th>72-96 mos</th>
<th>over 96 mos</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slightly Abundant</td>
<td>Prime</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td></td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modest</td>
<td>Choice</td>
<td>Utility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small</td>
<td>Select</td>
<td>Utility</td>
<td>Cutter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slight</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>Practically Devold</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traces</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practically Devold</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Assumes that firmness of lean is comparably developed with the degree of marbling and that the carcass is not a "dark cutter."
** Maturity increases from left to right (A through E).
*** The A maturity portion of the Figure is the only portion applicable to bulklock carcasses.
Spectrum Farm

**Prime**

**Choice**

**Select**

Excerpted from [www.askthemeatman.com](http://www.askthemeatman.com)

Grades are based on the amount of MARBLING (flecks in fat within the lean) and the age of the animal.

PRIME contains the greatest degree of marbling (small flecks of fat that are interspersed with the lean muscle, which contributes to tenderness, juiciness and flavor). It is generally sold to finer restaurants and to some selected meat stores. It is usually higher priced because it is produced in very limited quantities.

CHOICE is the grade preferred by many consumers because it contains sufficient marbling for taste and palatability. It is usually less costly than U.S. Prime. Choice falls between Prime and Select.

SELECT has the least amount of marbling, making it leaner than, but often not as tender, juicy and flavorful as, the other two top grades.

**These Quality Grades are an Indication of Palatability Characteristics such as Texture, Tenderness, Juiciness, and Flavor**
Table 1. Least square means and SEM of carcass composition and meat eating qualities of steers in year one.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Fall born calves</th>
<th>Spring born calves</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Direct to drylot</td>
<td>Pasture to July 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot carcass wt., lb</td>
<td>747.1±13.5</td>
<td>737.2±19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backfat, in.</td>
<td>0.6±0.03</td>
<td>0.5bc±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribeye area, in.²</td>
<td>13.1±0.2</td>
<td>12.4±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney, pelvic, heart fat, %</td>
<td>2.4±0.1</td>
<td>2.7bc±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield grade</td>
<td>2.7±0.1</td>
<td>2.6±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality grade</td>
<td>6.1ab±0.2</td>
<td>6.1abcd±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warner Bratzler shear, kgf²</td>
<td>2.3±0.1</td>
<td>2.4±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenderness</td>
<td>5.7±0.1</td>
<td>5.4±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juiceiness</td>
<td>5.3ab±0.1</td>
<td>5.1b±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavor intensity</td>
<td>5.2ab±0.1</td>
<td>5.3bcd±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavor</td>
<td>5.4ab±0.1</td>
<td>5.3bc±0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a,b,c,d,e,f means within the same row with different letters are different, P<0.05.

Yield grades were called by the USDA Meat Grading Service.

Quality grade was converted to a number system: Choice*²=4; Choice²=5; Choice=6; Select*²=7; etc.

Warner Bratzler shear measured by kilograms of force (kgf).

Sensory panel scores based on eight point scale (8=excellent; 1=very poor).
If WBS value for steak is \( \leq 4.1 \), then 98% of consumers will rate steak as “Acceptable for Tenderness”

“Palatability” = Overall Acceptability is a function of
- Tenderness
- Juiciness
- Flavor
- Flavor Intensity
R & R – Glossary of EPD/EBV Terms

- Expected Progeny Differences and Estimated Breeding Values not equal
- EBV = estimated value of an individual as a parent and its ability to transmit trait
- EPD = Expected Progeny Difference = 1/2 of the EBV
- EPD’s and EBV’s Related to Meat Quality
  - REA (EMA), IMF, Carcass Wt., Rib/Rump Fat, Retail Beef Yield, $Index Values

GROUP BREEDPLAN estimates the breeding values for individual animals (Estimated Breeding Values - EBVs) using all available information on the animal as well as its progeny and close relatives. The calculation of EBVs takes into account the influence of management, environmental effects and the non-genetic effects. GROUP BREEDPLAN provides the best possible estimate of an animal’s breeding value, that is, the animal’s EBV.

**SELECTION INDEX VALUES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market Target</th>
<th>$ Index Value</th>
<th>Breed Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long-Fed Export Index ($)</td>
<td>+$15</td>
<td>+$18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Super market Index ($)</td>
<td>+$24</td>
<td>+$16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The $Index describes how each animal is expected to benefit commercial herd profitability when the production purpose is as described. The $Index is an EBV for profit for performance in the commercial herd. Ranking seedstock on their $Index sorts them for their progeny’s expected profitability for the production purpose chosen.

**2004 SUMMER MURRAY GREY GROUP BREEDPLAN EBVs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EBV</th>
<th>Acc</th>
<th>Calv. Ease</th>
<th>Calv. Ease Dtrs</th>
<th>Gest. Len.</th>
<th>Birth Wt.</th>
<th>200 Day Wt.</th>
<th>400 Day Wt.</th>
<th>600 Day Wt.</th>
<th>Mat. Cow Wt.</th>
<th>Milk (kg)</th>
<th>Scrotal Size</th>
<th>Days to Calv.</th>
<th>Carcase Wt. (kg)</th>
<th>Eye Muscle Area (sq cm)</th>
<th>Rib Fat (mm)</th>
<th>Rump Fat (mm)</th>
<th>Retail Beef Yield (%)</th>
<th>IMF %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EBV</td>
<td>Acc</td>
<td>Calv. Ease</td>
<td>Calv. Ease Dtrs</td>
<td>Gest. Len.</td>
<td>Birth Wt.</td>
<td>200 Day Wt.</td>
<td>400 Day Wt.</td>
<td>600 Day Wt.</td>
<td>Mat. Cow Wt.</td>
<td>Milk (kg)</td>
<td>Scrotal Size</td>
<td>Days to Calv.</td>
<td>Carcase Wt. (kg)</td>
<td>Eye Muscle Area (sq cm)</td>
<td>Rib Fat (mm)</td>
<td>Rump Fat (mm)</td>
<td>Retail Beef Yield (%)</td>
<td>IMF %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.6</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>+1.3</td>
<td>+4.6</td>
<td>+20</td>
<td>+35</td>
<td>+49</td>
<td>+54</td>
<td>+5</td>
<td>+0.2</td>
<td>+0.8</td>
<td>+29</td>
<td>+0.7</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>+1.1</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Explanation of $Index Values**

The $Index describes how each animal is expected to benefit commercial herd profitability when the production purpose is as described. The $Index is an EBV for profit for performance in the commercial herd. Ranking seedstock on their $Index sorts them for their progeny’s expected profitability for the production purpose chosen.

**Carcase Weight EBV**: is an indicator of the genetic difference in carcass weight at a standard age of 650 days.

**Eye Muscle Area EBV**: indicates an animal’s genetic potential for eye muscle area on a standard 300kg carcass. Sires with relatively higher EMA EBVs are expected to produce better muscled and higher percentage yielding progeny at the same carcass weight than will sires with lower EMA EBVs.

**EMA usually called rib eye area (REA) in USA**

**Rib and Rump Fat EBV**: are indicators of an animal’s genetic potential for subcutaneous fat depth on a standard 300kg carcass. Sires with low, or negative, fat depth EBVs are expected to produce leaner progeny at any particular carcass weight than will sires with higher EBVs.

**Retail Beef Yield Percent EBV**: indicates genetic differences between animals for retail yield percentage in a standard 300kg carcass. Sires with larger EBVs are expected to produce progeny with higher yielding carcasses.

**Intra Muscular Fat Percent EBV**: indicates genetic differences between animals for intra muscular fat percentage (marbling) in a standard 300kg carcass. Sires with positive EBVs are expected to produce progeny with higher average marbling scores.

Data from J. Animal Sci 80(5):1202-11

Reference info from www.eatwild.com
Data from Smith, G.C. "Dietary supplementation of vitamin E to cattle to improve shelf life and case life of beef for domestic and international markets." Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado